## **Legislative Recommendation #42**

# Repeal Statute Suspension Under IRC § 7811(d) for Taxpayers Seeking Assistance From the Taxpayer Advocate Service

#### **SUMMARY**

- Problem: When a taxpayer requests assistance from TAS in writing, IRC § 7811(d) provides that the
  period of limitations within which the IRS may assess or collect tax is extended. The provision is
  intended to protect the IRS's interests, but the IRS has not implemented it since its enactment in 1988.
  In addition, the provision does not apply when a taxpayer requests assistance from TAS by phone,
  so if implemented, taxpayers who request TAS assistance in writing and taxpayers who request TAS
  assistance by phone would be treated differently.
- Solution: Repeal IRC § 7811(d).

#### **PRESENT LAW**

IRC § 7811(d) suspends the statutory period of limitations for any action for which a taxpayer seeks assistance from TAS. The period is only suspended if the taxpayer submits a written application for relief.<sup>1</sup>

### **REASONS FOR CHANGE**

Despite the fact that Congress enacted this provision in 1988,<sup>2</sup> the IRS has never implemented it. The intent of the provision was to protect the interests of the government, but the IRS has not seen a need to make use of it. Relatedly, implementation of the rule would require significant technology upgrades and procedural changes that the IRS has chosen not to undertake.

In concept, IRC § 7811(d) aims to ensure that the IRS will not lose the ability to assess or collect tax if the applicable statutory deadlines pass while a taxpayer's case is pending with TAS. Suspension of the assessment or collection period would give the IRS more time to take enforcement actions.

However, statute suspensions are unnecessary to protect the government's interests. The IRS currently may take enforcement actions against taxpayers with open TAS cases, if necessary, to protect the government's interests.<sup>3</sup>

Moreover, if IRC § 7811(d) were ever to be implemented, it would cause similarly situated taxpayers to be treated differently. By its terms, the provision only applies when a taxpayer submits a written request for TAS assistance. It does not apply when a taxpayer requests TAS assistance by phone, which is the method by which most taxpayers seek TAS's help. Thus, this provision – apart from being unnecessary and unutilized – would produce disparate outcomes for taxpayers who, despite lacking any knowledge of this issue, contact TAS by different means.

Treas. Reg. § 301.7811-1(e)(4).

<sup>2</sup> Technical and Miscellaneous Revenue Act of 1988, Pub. L. No. 100-647, § 6230, 102 Stat. 3342, 3734 (1988).

<sup>3</sup> Even if TAS issues a Taxpayer Advocate Order (TAO) directing the IRS to suspend collection, TAS will generally agree to modify the TAO if collection is in jeopardy. And if TAS ever did not agree to do so, the Commissioner or Deputy Commissioner could modify or rescind the TAO. See IRC § 7811(c)(1).

Lastly, despite the IRS's decision not to implement the provision, it has been raised in litigation, creating uncertainty for taxpayers and the IRS alike.<sup>4</sup> The National Taxpayer Advocate recommends this provision be repealed as it has not been used since it was enacted more than 30 years ago, it serves no useful purpose, and its repeal would prevent future litigation in which this provision is cited.

## **RECOMMENDATION**

• Repeal IRC § 7811(d).5

<sup>4</sup> In Rothkamm v. United States, 802 F.3d 699 (5th Cir. 2015), rev'g 2014 WL 4986884 (M.D. La. Sept. 15, 2014), the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit held, in relevant part, that IRC § 7811(d) tolled the period for filing a wrongful levy claim, which by operation of IRC § 6532(c)(2) extended the period for filing suit. IRS Action on Decision 2020-03 (Apr. 24, 2020) explains that except for cases appealable to the Fifth Circuit, the IRS will not follow the holding in Rothkamm that IRC § 7811(d) suspends the running of the limitations periods for third parties to file wrongful levy claims or suits, and outside the Fifth Circuit, the government will continue to defend its interpretation.

For legislative language generally consistent with this recommendation, see John Lewis Taxpayer Protection Act, H.R. 3738, 117th Cong. § 202 (2021); Taxpayer Protection Act, H.R. 2171, 115th Cong. § 202 (2017); Taxpayer Protection Act, H.R. 4912, 114th Cong. § 202 (2016). For more detail, see National Taxpayer Advocate 2015 Annual Report to Congress 316 (Legislative Recommendation: Repeal or Fix Statute Suspension Under IRC § 7811(d)), https://www.taxpayeradvocate.irs.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/08/ARC15\_Volume1\_LR\_01\_Statute-Limitations.pdf.